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#### Abstract

The two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations consisting of three equations and three unknowns have been solved by conventional methods using the primitive-variable approach and the vorticity-stream function approach. A new approach is proposed in this paper. By getting rid of pressure and one of the velocity components, the Navier-Stokes equations can be reduced to a third-order partial differential equation with one velocity component as the only unknown. A collocation method based on radial basis functions is proposed for solving this equation. Unknown velocity component is approximated as a linear combination of basis functions. Unknown coefficients are determined by an iterative scheme. The proposed method is used to solve a test problem, for which exact solution is known. It is found that the number of iterations required for a convergence and the accuracy of the solution depends on the free parameter of basis functions.


[^1]
## 1. Introduction

The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are coupled partial differential equations of pressure and velocity components. The two most popular approaches for solving these equations are the primitive-variable approach and the vorticity-stream function approach [1]. In the primitive-variable approach, the Navier-Stokes equations are to be solved for primitive variables (pressure and velocity components). Imposition of boundary conditions in this approach is quite straightforward. The vorticity-stream function approach requires the transformation of the Navier-Stokes equations into equations of derived variables (vorticity and stream function). Pressure and velocity components are determined from these derived variables. An advantage of solving the transformed equations is that there are two equations, which are fewer than the number of equations for the corresponding problem in the primitive-variable approach. By eliminating vorticity from the two equations of the vorticitystream function approach, only one fourth-order partial differential equation of the stream function remains [2]. A disadvantage of the vorticity-stream function approach is, however, that the imposition boundary condition may be troublesome because actual boundary conditions are usually given in terms of velocity and pressure instead of the stream function.

In this paper, an alternative approach for solving the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations is proposed. This approach reduces the number of the Navier-Stokes equations by two without requiring the transformation of the Navier-Stokes equations into equations of derived variables like the vortic-ity-stream function approach. The number of equations is reduced by eliminating pressure and one of the velocity components from the governing equations. As a result, there is only one equation to be solved. Unlike the vorticity-stream function approach, imposition of boundary conditions in the proposed approach is simple because variables to be solved for are still primitive variables. In addition this approach results in a third-order partial differential equation, which should be easier to solve than the fourth-order partial differential equation of stream function from vorticity-stream function approach [2]. Therefore, this approach has advantages over both the primitive-variable approach and the vorticity-stream function approach. The following sections give details of this approach, a collocation method using this approach, and numerical results of using this method to solve a test problem with known exact solution.

## 2. Reduction of Equations

The two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations consist of the momentum equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+u_{2} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+v\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}+u_{2} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}+v\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the continuity equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { (3) locity. Elimination of } \mathrm{p} \text { from Eqs. (1) and ( }  \tag{3}\\
& u_{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x \partial y}-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+u_{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)-v\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial y^{3}}-\frac{\partial^{3} u_{2}}{\partial x^{3}}-\frac{\partial^{3} u_{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho$ is density, $v$ is kinematic viscosity, $p$ is pressure, and $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are $x$ - and $y$-components of velocity. Elimination of $p$ from Eqs. (1) and (2) yields

Next, Eq. (3) is used to express $u_{2}$ in terms of $u_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=-\int \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x} d y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq.(4) results in one equation with one unknown $\left(u_{1}\right)$. However, this equation is a third-order nonlinear partial differential equation. An appropriate method for solving this equation is a collocation method.

## 3. Basis Functions

In order to solve Eq. (4) by a collocation method, $\partial \mathrm{u}_{1} / \partial \mathrm{x}$ must be approximated as a linear combination of independent basis functions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}(x, y)=\sum_{i} a_{i} \phi\left(x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are unknown coefficients. The approximation for $\partial u_{2} / \partial y$ is obtained from Eq. (3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial y}(x, y)=-\sum_{i} a_{i} \phi\left(x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is called the shape parameter. Multiquadrics is an axisymmetric function. A small value of $c$ results in a cone-like function. The function is smoother as $c$ increases. N independent basis functions can be generated from multiquadrics by choosing N different sets of coordinates $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$.

Once the basis functions for the approximations of $\partial u_{1} / \partial \mathrm{x}$ and $\partial \mathrm{u}_{2} / \partial \mathrm{y}$ have been selected, the approximations for $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ can be obtained as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(x, y)=\sum_{i} a_{i} \psi_{1}\left(x, y, x_{p} y_{i}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximation for $\partial \mathrm{u}_{2} / \partial \mathrm{x}$ is obtained from Eq. (5).
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(x, y)=\sum_{i} a_{i} \psi_{2}\left(x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{1}\left(x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}\right)= & \left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+c^{2}+} \\
& {\left[\frac{\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+c^{2}}{2}\right] \ln \left[\left(x-x_{i}\right)+\sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+c^{2}}\right] }  \tag{11}\\
\psi_{2}\left(x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}\right)= & -\left(\frac{y-y_{i}}{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+c^{2}-} \\
& {\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+c^{2}}{2}\right] \ln \left[\left(y-y_{i}\right)+\sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+c^{2}}\right] } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Collocation Method

Collocation methods based on radial basis functions were popularized by Kansa [3]. They have been used to solve a variety of linear and nonlinear partial differential equations [4-9]. Previously, MaiDuy and Tran-Cong [10] and Shu et al. [11] solved the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in the vorticity-stream function approach by collocation methods that use radial basis functions. However, equations they solved are second-order partial differential equations, and not a third-order partial differential equation like Eq. (4). In this section, the collocation method for solving Eq. (4) is described.

This collocation method is known as the multiquadric collocation method with additional collocation at the boundary. It was used by Chantasiriwan to solve linear partial differential equations [12], and found to give more accurate solutions than the standard multiquadric collocation method that was used by Kansa [3].

Assume that there are a total of N nodes, divided into $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ boundary nodes (indexed by $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) and Ni interior nodes (indexed by $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}+1, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}+2$, $\ldots, \mathrm{N})$. Note that $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{Ni}$. The velocity components $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ at the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ iteration are approximated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}^{(k)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}^{(k)} \psi_{1}\left(x, y, x_{i} y_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{b}}} a_{i+N}^{(k)} \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x, y, x_{i+N_{i}}, y_{i+N_{i}}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& u_{2}^{(k)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}^{(k)} \psi_{2}\left(x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} a_{i+N}^{(k)} \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x, y, x_{i+N_{i}}, y_{i+N_{i}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Basis functions $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$ must be independent from $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$. It is assumed that $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$ have
similar functional forms as $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$, except for a different shape parameter $(\mathrm{d} \neq \mathrm{c})$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}\right)= & \left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+d^{2}}+ \\
& {\left[\frac{\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+d^{2}}{2}\right] \ln \left[\left(x-x_{i}\right)+\sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+d^{2}}\right] }  \tag{15}\\
\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x, y, x_{p} y_{i}\right)= & \left(\frac{y-y_{i}}{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+d^{2}}- \\
& {\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+d^{2}}{2}\right] \ln \left[\left(y-y_{i}\right)+\sqrt{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+d^{2}}\right] } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Approximations for derivatives of the velocity components can be obtained by finding derivatives of $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$. For the purpose of iterative determination of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ unknown coefficients $a_{i}^{(k)}$
$\left(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, nonlinear terms in Eq. (4) are linearized by a scheme proposed by Ferziger and Peric [13]. For example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{(k)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{(k)}}{\partial x \partial y}=u_{1}^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{(k)}}{\partial x \partial y}+u_{1}^{(k)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{(k-1)}}{\partial x \partial y}-u_{1}^{(k-1)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{(k-1)}}{\partial x \partial y} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

After linearization, Eq. (4) represents $N$ equations for $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N})$. Boundary conditions for $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ yield $2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ more equations. Therefore, the resulting system of equations can be solved by a least-square method because there are more equations than unknowns. Initially, let $a_{i}^{(0)}=0(\mathrm{i}=$ $\left.1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. The iteration process is continued until the following convergence criterion is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{N_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}}\left(1-\frac{f_{i}^{(k-1)}}{f_{i}^{(k)}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}<10^{-5} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ represents $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$. The value of $k$ that yields converged solutions within 1000 iterations is denoted by K. It is considered that no converged solutions can be found if more than 1000 iterations are required.

## 5. Results and Discussion

The test problem having Dirichlet boundary condition is considered. Let $\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$ be coordinates of a test node in the test problem. Velocity components at the test node can be calculated from Eqs. (13) and (14) after coefficients have been determined. If the exact solution is known, error can be computed from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{l}=\left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{t}}\left[u_{l}\left(\xi_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)-u_{j, \text { exact }}\left(\xi_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}}\left[u_{l, \text { exact }}\left(\xi_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1=1$ or 2 , and $N_{t}$ is the number of test nodes.
The domain for the test problem is a $1 \times 1$ square. There are 64 test nodes located at $(0.125 \mathrm{i}+0.0625)$, $(0.125 \mathrm{j}+0.0625)$ with integers i and j running from -4 to 3. Let there be N collocation nodes uniformly distributed in the domain, forming a square grid. Fig. 1 shows distributions of test nodes and collocation nodes for $\mathrm{N}=81$. Exact solutions for this problem are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1, \text { exact }}(x, y)=\lambda v+e^{\lambda(x+y)} \\
& u_{2, \text { exact }}(x, y)=\lambda v-e^{\lambda(x+y)} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a parameter influencing the smoothness of the solutions. Solutions with a smaller absolute value of $\lambda$ have a smoother form of function than solutions with a larger absolute value of $\lambda$. From these exact solutions, boundary conditions are generated, numerical solutions are determined by the proposed method, and compared with exact solutions. In the following results, it is shown how $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ and $K$ vary with the shape parameters ( c and d) and other parameters.


Fig. 1 Distributions of 81 collocation nodes (white circles) and 64 test nodes (black circles) in the domain of the test problem.

Fig. 2 shows influences of the shape parameters c and d on $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ and K for the case in which $\lambda=-1$, $v=1$, and $\mathrm{N}=81$. It can be seen that solutions are more accurate as c is increased. When c is lower than 0.8 , converged solutions are found within a few iterations. If c is larger than 0.9 , however, it is found that no converged solution can be found. A large value of c is associated with high condition number of the coefficient matrix of the system of linear equations. Since the computing machine used in this study has a limited precision, an ill-conditioned system of linear equations cannot be solved with high precision. This may be a reason why the proposed method does not converge when a certain value of c is reached. In addition, Fig. 2 also shows that solutions are relatively insensitive to the shape parameter d between $\mathrm{c}+0.1$ and $\mathrm{c}+0.2$.

Fig. 3 compares $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ and $K$ of solutions for three values of $\mathrm{N}(49,81$, and 121$)$ with $\lambda=-1$ and $v=1$. The shape parameter d is varied with N so that the difference between d and c scales with grid spacing. The range of the shape parameter c in which a converged solution can be obtained is narrower as N is increased. An interesting consequence of this is that solutions with a larger number of nodes and smaller values of shape parameters may not be much more accurate than solutions with a smaller number of nodes and larger values of shape parameters. At the limits of convergence, roughly similar accuracy is obtained regardless of the number of nodes.

The proposed method is also tested with cases in which $\lambda$ and $v$ are smaller than -1 and 1 , respectively. These cases present a stiffer challenge because their exact solutions are less smooth than the exact solution for which $\lambda=-1$ and $v=1$. Results of two new cases in which $(\lambda, v)=(-2,0.1)$ and $(-3,0.01)$ are compared with results of the base case in which $(\lambda, v)=(-1,1)$ in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the proposed method can solve the test problem in the two new cases. Behaviors of $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ and $K$ for two new cases are found to be qualitatively similar to those for the base case.


Fig. 2 Variations of errors of velocity components $\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\varepsilon_{2}\right)$ and the number of iterations with the shape parameters c and d for the test problem having parameters $\lambda=-1$ and $\nu=1$. The number of collocation nodes $(\mathrm{N})$ is 81 .


Fig. 3 Variations of errors of velocity components $\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\varepsilon_{2}\right)$ and the number of iterations $(K)$ with the shape parameters c and the number of nodes $(\mathrm{N})$ for the test problem having parameters $\lambda=-1$ and $\nu=1$. The shape parameter d equals $\mathrm{c}+0.2$ for $\mathrm{N}=49, \mathrm{c}+0.15$ for $\mathrm{N}=81$, and $\mathrm{c}+0.12$ for $\mathrm{N}=121$.


Fig. 4 Variations of errors of velocity components $\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\varepsilon_{2}\right)$ and the number of iterations $(K)$ with the shape parameter c for the test problem having three sets of parameters: $(\lambda, v)=(-1,1),(-2,0.1)$, and $(-3,0.01)$.

The number of collocation nodes $(\mathrm{N})$ is 81 , and the shape parameter $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{c}+0.15$.

## 6. Conclusions

This paper presents an alternative approach for solving the Navier-Stokes equations, in which pressure and one of the velocity components are eliminated, and the governing equations are reduced to a third-order partial differential equation of the remaining velocity component. The resulting equations are solved by a meshless collocation method that uses multiquadrics and associated functions as basis functions. Inspection of solutions to the test problem by the proposed method reveals that shape parameters of multiquadrics influence the accuracy of solutions. Small values of the shape parameters result in a low number of required iterations, but the resulting solutions may not be accurate. On the contrary, large values of the shape parameters can yield very accurate solutions provided that converged solutions can be found. Furthermore, converged solutions may not be obtained if the shape parameters are too large.
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